

NOTICE

of

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER REVIEW COMMITTEE MEETING

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 84(1) of the Local Government Act 1999

TO BE HELD IN

COMMITTEE ROOM PLAYFORD CIVIC CENTRE 10 PLAYFORD BOULEVARD, ELIZABETH

MEMBERS MAY PARTICIPATE BY ELECTRONIC MEANS

ON

MONDAY, 12 FEBRUARY 2024 AT 5:30 PM

SAM GREEN

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

Issue Date: Thursday, 8 February 2024

MEMBERSHIP

MAYOR GLENN DOCHERTY - PRESIDING MEMBER

Cr Marilyn Baker

Cr Clint Marsh

Cr Gay Smallwood-Smith

City of Playford Chief Executive Officer Review Committee Meeting

AGENDA

MONDAY, 12 FEBRUARY 2024 AT 5:30 PM

1	ATTENDANCE RECORD
1.1	Present
1.2	Apologies
1.3	Not Present
2	CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES
	RECOMMENDATION
	The Minutes of the Chief Executive Officer Review Committee Meeting held 13 November 2023 be confirmed as a true and accurate record of proceedings.
3	DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST
4	DEPUTATION / REPRESENTATIONS
	Nil
5	STAFF REPORTS
	Nil
6	INFORMAL DISCUSSION
6.1	CEO Review Committee Workplan (Attachment)5
6.2	Consultation Paper - CEO Remuneration - Remuneration Tribunal of South Australia (Attachment)
7	INFORMAL ACTIONS
8	CONFIDENTIAL MATTERS INFORMAL DISCUSSION
8.1	Quarter Two - Review progress of the CEO's KPIs and half year financials to date (Attachment)
9	CLOSURE

INFORMAL DISCUSSION

6.1 CEO Review Committee Workplan

Attachments: 1<u>1</u>. CEO Review Committee Workplan 2024

Presenter: Paula Paterson

Purpose: Items to be considered by the Committee at the May 2024 meeting as

detailed in the workplan will be reviewed.

Duration: 10 minutes

Chief Executive Officer Review Committee Workplan														
2024														
Item	Responsible Officer	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Comments
CEO Review Committee Communique	Executive Officer / Mayor													CEO Review Committee Communique to be forwarded to Council Members as required.
Committee Workplan	Executive Officer													Standing Agenda Item
Develop Draft Chief Executive Officer Key Performance Indicators	CEO / Consultant / Committee													
Endorse Chief Executrive Officer Key Performance Indicators for 2024/25	CEO / Consultant / Committee													Recommendation to flow to Ordinary Council
Quarter 1 KPI Performance Review & Financial update	CEO / Consultant											24/25	5	2023/24 Reported to Committee December 2023
Quarter 2 KPI Performance Review & Financial update	CEO / Consultant													
Quarter 3 KPI Performance Review & Financial update	CEO / Consultant													
Development of CEO Performance Assessment survey	Executive Officer / Consultant													
End of Year KPI Performance Review	CEO / Consultant											**		** Audited financial statements to be acknowledged
CEO Performance Assessment Report	CEO / Consultant													Recommenation to flow to Ordinary Council
CEO Remuneration Review	Consultant / Committee													2 year extension to expire 18/2/25

Consultation Paper - CEO Remuneration - Remuneration Tribunal of South 6.2 Australia

Attachments: 1<u>U</u>. CEO Remuneration Consultation Paper

Paula Paterson Presenter:

To discuss the CEO Remuneration consultation paper released by the Purpose:

Remuneration Tribunal of South Australia.

Duration: 30 minutes From: "City of Playford" <PLAYFORD@PLAYFORD.SA.GOV.AU>

Wed, 31 Jan 2024 11:34:25 +1030 Sent:

"Records Management" < recordsmanagement@playford.sa.gov.au> To: Consultation Paper - Local Government CEOs - Remuneration Tribunal of SA -Subject:

submission due 15-04-2024

Consultation Paper - Local Government CEOs.pdf Attachments:

----Original Message----

From: RemunerationTribunal@sa.gov.au Sent: Wednesday, 31 January 2024 10:28:07 AM

Subject: Consultation Paper - Local Government CEOs

⚠ EXTERNAL EMAIL: Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe. Λ

OFFICIAL: Sensitive

Good morning

Please see attached Consultation Paper from the Remuneration Tribunal.

Could you please ensure that the Mayor and CEO receive a copy, noting that submissions are due 15 April 2024.

Kind regards

Cristalle Vandenberg

Senior Advisor Remuneration Tribunal Remuneration Tribunal of South Australia | www.remtribunal.sa.gov.au

T | 08 8429 4031 E | remunerationtribunal@sa.gov.au
A | State Administration Centre, Ground Floor, 200 Victoria Square, Adelaide, SA, 5000 | GPO 464 Adelaide SA 5001 | DX336

Mon	Tues	Wed	Thurs	Fri
✓	✓	✓	n/a	n/a

Document Set ID: 4451640 Version: 1, Version Date: 02/02/2024



Remuneration Tribunal of South Australia

CONSULTATION PAPER

Minimum and Maximum Remuneration for Local Government Chief Executive Officers

January 2024

About this consultation paper

On 16 June 2023, the Remuneration Tribunal of South Australia (**Tribunal**) issued <u>Determination 4 of 2023</u> (**Current Determination**) and accompanying <u>Report 4 of 2023</u>. The Current Determination covers 67 Councils who are grouped into eight bands. Each band contains a minimum and maximum remuneration amount that may be paid to a Council's Chief Executive Officer (**CEO**). The amount payable to a CEO is at the discretion of each Council, so long as it falls within the applicable band.

The eight bands are based on the current adjusted total remuneration packages of CEOs. The Tribunal does not consider this approach to be a long-term sustainable position and is therefore considering various options to improve the evaluation method of minimum and maximum remuneration amounts for CEOs ahead of the next review, scheduled for July 2024.

The Tribunal has some reservation about the extent of participation in the process. As the Tribunal has noted, it incorporates the substantial and unexplained diversity of remuneration arrangements between Councils which have at least some inherently similar characteristics. These are characteristics of current arrangements. Councils apply significantly different approaches to calculating and reporting on current remuneration arrangements. Those current arrangements create the potential for flow-on effects to senior staff reporting to CEOs and hence may exacerbate instability within the Local Government sector. The Tribunal is acutely aware that the effect of the current determination is to limit movements in current remuneration and that current remuneration levels require further review. That further review will require the provision of substantially more information from Local Government than that which has been provided to date.

The purpose of this consultation paper is to outline the likely approach to be adopted by the Tribunal over the coming months and offer the Local Government sector an opportunity to engage with the Tribunal to provide input and suggestions for the next review. The Tribunal emphasises that this review will be progressed in 2024. Comments and suggestions are invited but a protracted period for debate over how the review should be undertaken is not proposed.

The Tribunal is seeking to move toward a remuneration structure for Council CEOs which considers the following criteria:

- CEO position descriptions and responsibilities
- The skills and experience required
- The complexity of CEO roles
- The population size, density, and characteristics of a Council district
- The geographic size and characteristics of a Council district

Document Set ID: 4451640 Version: 1, Version Date: 02/02/2024

- Industry issues specific to a Council district
- Social or cultural issues specific to a Council district
- Isolation and distance factors
- Accommodation issues
- Consideration of tenure and contract duration
- Specifically nominated performance criteria
- Consideration of objectively established remuneration for comparable positions
- Recognition of attraction and retention approaches.

In considering these issues the Tribunal will have regard to current remuneration arrangements but does not consider these to be determinative of future remuneration minima and maxima.

The Tribunal recognises two important considerations for Local Government relative to this review. Firstly, it is desirable that both CEOs and elected members have the capacity to have input into this review. The Tribunal recognises that this represents a complication in that arrangements and opportunities for elected members comment will need to be arranged. Secondly, the *Local Government Act 1999* (SA) provides that, following consultation with the Local Government Association, the costs of the proposed review are to be met by Councils. The Tribunal is committed to minimising these costs, provided the integrity of the review is not compromised.

This consultation paper canvasses options for consideration and comment. The Tribunal recognises there are various ways to analyse the minimum and maximum remuneration amounts to be set for Local Government CEOs and that there may be other options not covered in this consultation paper. Submissions are welcomed, however, please take into account the Tribunal's jurisdiction which is primarily contained in section 99A of the <u>Local Government Act 1999 (SA)</u>.

Information required

It appears to the Tribunal that, irrespective of the approach to be taken in the future, Councils will ultimately need to provide the Tribunal with detailed position descriptions for CEOs. Further, that it is also appropriate that Councils provide a description of how current CEO remuneration arrangements have been developed and reviewed. Consequently, the Tribunal requests that, irrespective of any submissions about the options outlined below, this information be provided to the Tribunal by no later than 15 April 2024.

How to make a submission

Written submissions, position descriptions and/or procedures or practices in relation to the current remuneration arrangements can be sent via email to RemunerationTribunal@sa.gov.au by no later than 15 April 2024.

Disclaimer

The views expressed in this discussion paper are of a preliminary nature only. The Tribunal's views may change as a result of the submissions it receives or as other circumstances change.

OPTION 1

PROGRESSIVELY REVIEW REMUNERATION ARRANGEMENTS AT THE REQUEST OF INDIVIDUAL COUNCILS

This option would allow individual Councils to make an application requesting an increase or decrease to the minimum and maximum remuneration levels applicable to its CEO. Over time, the Tribunal expects that this will result in a minimum and maximum remuneration structure that reflects objective consideration of the relevant criteria.

The Tribunal would require each application to address in sufficient detail the reasons why a Council submits an increase or decrease is warranted. Those details would need to extend beyond a simple comparison with one or more other Councils, to address the criteria already identified.

Given there are 67 Councils covered by the Current Determination, this option could be time consuming, costly for the Local Government sector who bear the reasonable costs of the Tribunal and could result in up to 67 bands applying.

More significantly, consideration of individual Councils is likely to expose the significant differences between remuneration arrangements as inconsistencies that require broader review.

The Tribunal expects to evaluate individual submissions by considering each of the criteria referenced above.

The outcome of such an individual review may differ substantially from current arrangements.

This option also raises potential costing issues. These go to whether the Local Government Association will determine whether individual reviews will be funded by Councils concerned or whether these costs should be shared amongst other Councils. If individual reviews highlight inconsistencies that require consideration, then the Local Government Association will need to consider how that is funded.

The Tribunal would need to receive strong support from a majority of Councils to consider this option and therefore those making submissions are encouraged to indicate their level of support and preparedness to be bound by this option. Those who do not believe this is a viable option should also indicate that in any submission.

OPTION 2

ENGAGE A PROFESSIONAL EXTERNAL REMUNERATION SPECIALIST

The Tribunal is considering engaging an external remuneration specialist to undertake a detailed evaluation of the remuneration framework. The Tribunal notes that external expertise assisted in the development of the West Australian approach to developing remuneration minimums and maximums.

This process would provide an opportunity for consideration of all of the relevant criteria as they relate to each Council. It would also enable elected members from each Council to have input into a consistently applied approach.

If the external consultant reviews each Council individually, the indicative cost of such an approach is likely to be between \$300,000 - \$350,000. The Tribunal is aware that, consistent with the other options below, there may be scope to substantially reduce this cost, and will endeavour to incorporate cost reduction capacity into any arrangement reached with the selected remuneration consultant – noting that a competitive tender process will take place. The Tribunal proposes to begin conferring with the Minister about these cost estimates shortly.

The Tribunal has looked at what this approach would involve. On the information available to it, it appears the process could take up to 22 weeks, would involve cooperation from CEOs and Mayors who would need to work with the external consultant. Such a review may involve consideration of other Local Government staff functions and benchmarking with an appropriate comparator market.

OTHER OPTIONS

There are other options that might be suggested by the Local Government sector.

Without limiting these in any way, they include the potential for Councils who use established remuneration setting methodologies, to propose a broader application of these approaches to include the CEO.

In terms of the second option (engaging and external remuneration specialist) Councils which believe they have similar relevant characteristics and CEO remuneration arrangements, may wish to propose that they be collectively reviewed.

If Councils are prepared to provide all available information covering the criteria identified in this paper, the Tribunal is open to engaging with elected members and/or CEOs to consider how remuneration has been set, how Councils can be compared and the appropriate criteria for remuneration levels. Because of the number of Councils and CEOs involved, it would seem appropriate that a smaller group or groups be established with the capacity to convey information about the criteria used to establish remuneration. An important consideration in this respect will be the extent to which such an approach has unanimous support and can be expeditiously undertaken. The Tribunal estimates that such an approach would involve substantially reduced costs.

The Tribunal acknowledges that, if the Local Government sector can provide adequate information addressing each of the criteria identified in this paper, it may be possible for the Tribunal to undertake the remuneration assessment with limited additional resources and costs. However, the Tribunal's experience to date indicates this could not be achieved in a timely manner that takes into account the positions of both CEOs and elected members.

The Tribunal is open to considering other approaches that might be proposed by Councils but stresses that a consistent approach across the sector will be required.

INFORMAL DISCUSSION

8.1 QUARTER TWO - REVIEW PROGRESS OF THE CEO'S KPIS AND HALF YEAR FINANCIALS TO DATE

Contact Person: Paula Paterson

Why is this matter before the Council or Committee?

Informal Discussion

Purpose

For Council to make a determination on whether to deal with this matter in confidence.

A. COMMITTEE TO MOVE MOTION TO GO INTO CONFIDENCE

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Pursuant to Section 90(2) of the *Local Government Act 1999* an order is made that the public be excluded from attendance at the meeting, with the exception of:

- Chief Executive Officer:
- Allison Ashby, AM Consulting; and
- Senior Manager Organisational Development.

in order to consider in confidence agenda item 8.1 under Sections 90(3)(a)(b)(d) of the *Local Government Act 1999* on the basis that:

- (a) information the disclosure of which would involve the unreasonable disclosure of information concerning the personal affairs of any person (living or dead); and
- (d) commercial information of a confidential nature (not being a trade secret) the disclosure of which –
- i) could reasonably be expected to prejudice the commercial position of the person who supplied the information, or to confer a commercial advantage on a third party; and
- ii) would, on balance, be contrary to the public interest.

This matter is Confidential because it relates to information pertaining to the personal affairs of the Chief Executive Officer and contains sensitive financial information regarding Council projects, the disclosure of which would prejudice the commercial position of Council.

On the basis of this information, the principle that meetings should be conducted in a place open to the public has been outweighed in this instance; the Committee consider it necessary to consider this matter in confidence.

Section B below to be discussed in the confidential section of the agenda once the meeting moves into confidence for each item.

B. The Matters as per item 8.1

C. COMMITTEE TO DECIDE HOW LONG ITEM 8.1 IS TO BE KEPT IN CONFIDENCE

PURPOSE

To resolve how long agenda item 8.1 is to be kept confidential.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Pursuant to Section 91(7) of the *Local Government Act 1999*, the Committee orders that the following aspects of Item 8.1 be kept confidential in accordance with Committee's reasons to deal with this item in confidence pursuant to Sections 90(3)(a)(b)(d) of the *Local Government Act 1999*:

- Report for Item 8.1
- Attachment(s) for Item 8.1
- Minutes for Item 8.1

This order shall operate until the next annual review of confidential items by Council at which time this order will be reviewed and determined in accordance with Section 91(9)(a) of the Local Government Act 1999.